EXHIBIT N

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
2	ATLANTA DIVISION
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)CIVIL ACTION
5	Plaintiff,)NO. 1:16-cv-03088-ELR
6	vs.
7	STATE OF GEORGIA,)
8	Defendants.)
9	
10	
11	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF
12	DEBORAH F. GAY
13	
14	Wednesday, July 27, 2022, 9:11 a.m., EST
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	HELD AT:
21	Georgia Department of Education 205 Jesse Hill Jr. Drive, S.E.
22	Atlanta, Georgia 30334
23	
24	WANDA L. ROBINSON, CRR, CCR, No. B-1973
25	Certified Shorthand Reporter/Notary Public



1	Q And as we go through other documents
2	later, we can nail down the date even more.
3	All right. So before serving in the role
4	of deputy superintendent of Federal Programs and
5	Special Education, what was your prior position?
6	A I was the state director for special
7	education.
8	Q And when did you hold that position?
9	A I'll have to look at my resume.
10	Q And you should still have control?
11	A Okay.
12	From September 2010 until I moved into the
13	deputy superintendent position, which again I am not
14	I do not recall the exact date of that
15	transition.
16	Q But some time in 2016?
17	A Most have been, based on this email.
18	Q What were your job responsibilities in
19	this position?
20	A As state director?
21	Q Yes.
22	A I had the oversight for the programs, and
23	they're all listed on my resume.
24	So I was over Budget, Data Dispute
25	Resolution, Curriculum and School Improvement,



1	Focused Monitoring, Georgia Assistive Technology
2	Project
3	THE COURT REPORTER: Wait.
4	A Georgia Instructional Materials Center,
5	Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports,
6	Professional Learning, Georgia Learning Resource
7	System, Georgia Network of Educational and
8	Therapeutic Supports, and the Parent Mentor
9	Partnership.
10	All of those programs I would say with the
11	exception of the GNETS program had a program manager
12	in the office.
13	The GNETS program, we typically had staff
14	assigned who worked with those program directors and
15	helped with sharing information from the department
16	to those GNET directors.
17	Q So you're saying there was not a program
18	manager for GNETS who worked directly in your
19	office?
20	A Not during the time that I was the state
21	director, but there was a program manager who had
22	that assignment as part of their duties.
23	Q Do you know who that person was?
24	A It was several people over the years.
25	I recall that Dr. Mike Blake was in that



1	role for a period of time.
2	Prior to that I believe Ginny O'Connell
3	was in that role.
4	Then the last person was Nakeba Rahming,
5	who was hired and really became a more official
6	person with GNETS as her direct responsibility.
7	There may have been some other staff but
8	those are the only names that I recall at this time.
9	Q Thank you.
10	Do you know roughly how far back Mike
11	Blake and Ginny O'Connell would have served in this
12	capacity?
13	A During the time when I was director, and
14	Ginny O'Connell may have been prior to that, when
15	the other special Ed directors there was a team
16	prior to me. There were two there were two
17	special Ed directors who shared that role.
18	Q So for Mike Blake, is it accurate to say
19	it would have been some time between September 2010
20	and the end of the time that you served as a special
21	education
22	A Yes.
23	Q state director?
24	For Ginny O'Connell, is it correct hers

would have been during that time frame or possibly

1	A PBIS director. We had a change in our
2	data manager. We had a change in our budget
3	personnel, budget oversight personnel.
4	So people who came in who touched GNETS in
5	different ways, I would have been involved with
6	hiring those individuals.
7	Q All right. Let's start with Ms. Rahming's
8	position.
9	A Okay.
10	Q Do you remember when she was hired?
11	A I don't remember the exact date.
12	Q Roughly?
13	A I remember interviewing her. I just don't
14	remember. It must have been 2015, 2016, somewhere
15	in that time frame.
16	Q What was her position?
17	A She was hired as program manager with
18	direct responsibility for GNETS. And eventually she
19	was promoted to be a director, who no longer
20	reported to me but who reported to Matt Jones.
21	Q What is the difference between the
22	responsibilities of a program manager and a
23	director?
24	A I think a program manager acts as a member
25	of the team to collaborate our programs across the



1	special education services, with I met with the
2	program managers very regularly to make sure that we
3	were all singing the same song, because I think when
4	you have a division this big, it becomes very easy
5	to not be consistent across the divisions.
6	The director I think has more
7	decision-making responsibility, more oversight,
8	becomes in Nakeba's circumstance, she became very
9	directly involved in the work and the coordination
10	of all the improvement projects around GNETS.
11	Q What role did you play in Ms. Rahming's
12	hiring specifically?
13	A Matt Jones and I interviewed her, and we
14	interviewed some other individuals. I do not recall
15	right now who they were. She was an outstanding
16	candidate.
17	Q In addition to conducting interviews, did
18	you play any other roles in her hiring?
19	A I may have played a role in developing the
20	job description. I typically did. When there was
21	going to be hiring, I would do that with HR.
22	Because this was a little bit different, in terms of
23	what the duties and responsibilities were going to
24	be, a job description had not been written for that

specifically as a program manager position.



1	Q Let's actually start there in discussing
2	the position itself. Why was Ms. Rahming's position
3	created?
4	A I believe we had knew we had a lot of
5	work to do with GNETS. I think the audit report you
6	showed me previously suggested a manager being
7	developed and there was a lot of work to be done.
8	So it certainly made sense that there be a
9	solitary position devoted to GNETS, as there had not
10	been in the past.
11	Q I am going to show you a document in a
12	moment.
13	MS. HAMILTON: I would like for the court
14	reporter to mark this document as Plaintiff's
15	Exhibit 285.
16	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-285 was
17	marked for identification.)
18	MS. HAMILTON: This is an August 7th, 2015
19	email from Deborah Gay to Denise Peterson, and the
20	first page of the document has a Bates number of
21	GA00165372.
22	BY MS HAMILTON:

23

24

25

Ms. Gay, this is the extent of the email, if you want to take a moment to review it. Let me know when you're ready.



1	to the original question.
2	A Okay.
3	Q And the original question just said:
4	"What are your short and long-term expectations of
5	the hired candidate?"
6	So in this first sentence of Ms. Keith's
7	response, she says: The short-term expectations
8	include working with Debbie Gay and me to develop a
9	project management plan that includes detailed
10	action steps to address program improvements."
11	Did Ms. Rahming in fact work with you and
12	Ms. Keith to develop a project management plan?
13	A Yes. In the, in the short term.
14	Q And what is a project management plan?
15	A I think it as I recall, it was kind of
16	an outline of steps that would need to be
17	implemented to fulfill her role in the work that
18	needed to be done going forward.
19	I don't remember a specific document.
20	There could have been one.
21	Q And she notes Ms. Keith notes here that
22	the plan includes "detailed action steps to address
23	program improvements."
24	Is that what that says?
25	A Yes.



1	Q And it looks like here on the cover page
2	it says, "Strategic Plan Update Year 4."
3	Why would there why would this say Year
4	4?
5	A I don't remember. I'm sorry, I was trying
6	to read to see if I can remember, but I don't
7	remember why it says Year 4. I know we had been
8	working on it. Ginny O'Connell and I were the basic
9	people working on the strategic plan document.
10	Q Let me scroll down here.
11	What was the purpose of this strategic
12	plan?
13	A Improvement. Having some kind of format
14	to gauge improvement and accountability.
15	Q I want to direct your attention to the
16	beginning of the second paragraph.
17	It says: "This strategy plan addresses
18	recent audit findings, recommendations from
19	stakeholder meetings, and general improvement
20	strategies the Georgia Department of Education
21	(GaDOE) has been addressing over time with the
22	network."
23	So would this strategic plan have been in
24	response to the items that are listed here in this
25	first sentence?



1	A	Yes.

- Q And then I'm going to move the cursor down and let you see where it is.
 - A Okay.
 - Q Where it starts year one.

If you can just independently read those sentences, and if you can explain to me -- it sounds like there are different years of the strategic plan. If you can explain to me what your understanding of each of those different years of the strategic plan were intended, that would be helpful.

13 MS. JOHNSON: Objection.

14 You can answer.

A The first year -- as I'm reading this, what I recall is the first year of the plan was really looking at data to fill it out. This is kind of where we are.

The second year of the plan would be coming up with activities that are needed to address those deficit areas.

And what it says here is additional focus in Year 2 will be identification of data elements needed to create a funding element to support core services to local school districts. Year 3 of the



1	strategic plan will focus on implementation of the
2	services.
3	So it was a tiered approach.
4	Q I don't know if you saw a little
5	earlier it says, "The plan is currently structured
6	around a three-year timeline aimed at completing the
7	identified goals by FY15."
8	Do you see that here?
9	A Yes.
10	Q As we've discussed at the beginning, this
11	document is titled "Strategic Plan Update Year 4."
12	Why was it necessary to have an update to the plan
13	in Year 4 if it was intended to be completed in
14	three years?
15	A I don't think the plan was intended to be
16	completed in three years. I think those steps that
17	we just talked about were completed in three years
18	but the plan would be ongoing. You would continue
19	to update your strategic plan annually, to continue
20	to make improvements in the program.
21	Q What were the areas of focus of the
22	strategic plan?
23	A I don't recall without looking at the
24	document.

Okay. Let's return back to the same



Q

1	paragraph.
2	A Okay.
3	Q About midway through here it says: "The
4	strategic plan embodies input from these sources and
5	focuses on seven major areas."
6	If you could take a look at that and let
7	me know if this captures the areas of the strategic
8	plan that you're familiar with.
9	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
10	A In reading it, I would say that's probably
11	accurate if it's part of this document.
12	Q I know you said you didn't remember much
13	about this particular update. Do you remember if
14	there were any further updates after the Year 4
15	update?
16	A I do not remember. I know that when
17	Nakeba came on board I think a new, a new process
18	was put in place, that she and Clara worked on a new
19	process and it was automated, and it wasn't this
20	you know, people would download this document, fill
21	it out, send it in to us. There was no way to
22	really collect it in any kind of an automatic
23	automated fashion.
24	And I don't know if I really don't
25	recall if the same areas were continued in the new



1	process or not.
2	Q Well, let's turn to the new process.
3	A Okay.
4	Q So I'm going to close this document.
5	You mentioned Nakeba Rahming and Clara
6	Keith were involved in developing the revised
7	strategic plan. Do you know who else was involved?
8	A I don't recall.
9	Q What was your role?
10	A Um, you know, as Clara provided in her
11	email back to Nakeba, in the short term I was
12	involved. And as the work progressed, I stayed more
13	in my lane as the special ed director. So I
14	certainly had consultation and conversations, but
15	the work was primarily the work of Nakeba and Clara,
16	who reported to Matt.
17	Q I'm going to show you another document.
18	A Okay.
19	MS. HAMILTON: I'd like for the court reporter
20	to mark this document as Plaintiff's Exhibit 292.
21	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-292 was
22	marked for identification.)
23	BY MS. HAMILTON:
24	Q This is an email from Nakeba Rahming to a
25	number of different individuals who appear to be



1	GNETS directors.
2	You can confirm that for me later.
3	Ms. Gay, you are copied on this email?
4	A Yes.
5	MS. JOHNSON: And it's dated February 3rd,
6	2016, the subject: GNETS Strategic Plan Work
7	Session."
8	The initial Bates number is GA00404901,
9	and this document has two attachments.
10	BY MS. HAMILTON:
11	Q I'll give you a moment to read this cover
12	email. That should be all of it.
13	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
14	A Okay.
15	Q So Ms. Rahming's email is addressed she
16	says: "Hello strategic plan committee."
17	Do you see that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q What was the role of the strategic plan
20	committee?
21	A I think when Nakeba started to do her work
22	with GNETS, she wanted to take a look at what had
23	been done in the past. So I think she formed a
24	group of GNETS directors who wanted to be involved
25	and had a series of meetings with them to look at



the current strategic plan, if it was working, if it 1 2 wasn't working, and then move forward from there. 3 And it looks like in this email she says: 0 "Please see the information below. The time and 4 5 place for our work session." It appears she's informing them of an 6 7 upcoming work session; is that correct? 8 Α I'm assuming so. The next part of it is 9 where they can park. So I think they must have come 10 to the DOE. 11 So let's look at the second 0 All right. attachment. I'll scroll down for us. The first 12 13 attachment is a parking map. 14 So the second attachment appears to be an 15 agenda. 16 Can you just describe for me what you see 17 here?

A I see that I was probably in the meeting.

Again, this was during the period of time
Nakeba had just come on board and she was being
introduced to the GNETS directors. The work was
starting. So I think it was probably a session
where we looked at, as the agenda indicates, review
for the current strategic plan, review the draft
rubric for the standards of practice, review the DOJ



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	strategic	plan?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Well, I think the DOJ letter outlined some areas of needed improvement, and I think making sure if the strategic plan addressed those areas or if they were considered to be valid would be important in the revision of the strategic plan.

Q I'll stop sharing this document.

I'm going to return to a document that was previously marked during today's deposition as Plaintiff's Exhibit 290.

This was a February 8, 2016 email that Ms.

12 Rahming had sent to the --

13 A Right.

Q -- same individuals on that last email chain about the draft strategic plan.

I'd like to just read through the email and ask you some questions about what she's discussing here.

She says: "I am attempting to make it" -- do you understand "it" to be in reference to the strategic plan?

A Yes.

Q "I'm attempting to make it an inclusive document so that you will not need to have multiple pieces of documents outlining the same work."



1	She then says: "I also incorporated the
2	rating scale so we do not need to development second
3	document. Debbie and I spoke on Friday and she
4	supports us with moving away from the GCIMP and
5	incorporating those items into the strategic plan."
6	What was the GCIMP?
7	A The Georgia Continuous Improvement what
8	does the M stand for? Monitoring progress.
9	All local systems were required to
10	complete that monitoring assessment. We had the
11	GNETS completing it as other local school districts
12	were but it really did not it didn't match. It
13	wasn't a good match, because there were many things
14	that were different about GNETS that were different
15	from a local school system.
16	So it was really saying, let's come up
17	with a better monitoring process system that meets
18	the needs of GNETS.
19	The one size fits all is not working here.
20	Q Then Ms. Rahming goes on to say: "We also
21	spoke about the core array of services application
22	for funding and she indicated" do you understand
23	"she" to be referring to you?
24	A It must be, yes.
25	Q "and she indicated that if we can show



1	where the core array of services would be addressed
2	and incorporated into the strategic plan with
3	evidence of implementation to support the
4	distribution of funding, she would be fine with
5	dismissing that document as well."
6	What were the core array of services?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
8	You can answer.
9	A I have vague remembrance of some specific
10	services that each GNETS program was to provide, and
11	we had some additional funding that they could apply
12	for to implement those services.
13	I'm very fuzzy on that, though.
14	Q So it appears what you're saying here is
15	that if, if those services are addressed and
16	incorporated into the plan, there would no longer be
17	a need for that separate
18	A Yes.
19	Q documentation?
20	A Yes.
21	Q I'm scrolling down to this first
22	attachment that I previewed before. It's titled,
23	"GNETS Program Strategic Plan Draft No. 1," and do
24	you see here it says dated February 5th, 2016?
25	A Yes, I do.

